



Test Results | THERMORY® Pine Strength & Hardness

Strength
& Hardness

TESTED

► Moisture content and, weight and density were calculated and then the strength was evaluated on a bending device to determine the strength of each THERMORY®Pine sample.

RESULTS

► The impact resistance and strength was calculated to be slightly harder than Cedar and strength to be extremely suitable for a decking surface.



► DECKING ► CLADDING ► PORCH FLOORING

ThermoryUSA.com support@thermoryusa.com

BUFFALO

P: 585.250.4074 • F: 847.256.0509
56 Harvester Avenue, Suite 1-201
Batavia, NY 14020

DENVER

P: 720.759.7268 • F: 847.256.0509
537 W. Highlands Ranch Pkwy, Unit #114
Highlands Ranch, CO 80129





TEST REPORT No 17-6/KML/26

November 25, 2016

Page: 1

Pages: 3

Customer: Brenstol OÜ
Product: Natural and thermally modified pine wood (*Pinus sylvestris*)
Ground of testing: Order for testing 03.10.2016
Testing objective: Determine strength properties
Test methods: Bending strength, modulus of elasticity in bending (MOE), surface resistance to indentation, impact resistance, density and moisture content.

Product description

Tested products were natural and thermally modified (215 °C) pine wood (*Pinus sylvestris*). From both materials 10 boards were tested.

Test description

Density was determined for oven-dry samples. Moisture content was determined by oven-dry method by drying the specimens at temperature 103 ± 2 °C until the constant weight was reached. Moisture content is expressed as a percentage of the oven-dry weight. Moisture content and density values are in Table 1.

Bending tests were carried out with Instron 5866 device, span 520 mm, testing speed 20 mm/min, cross section of the tested material was 26 x 100 mm. See results in Table 2.

Surface resistance to indentation (Brinell) was tested according to EVS-EN 1534:2010 Wood flooring - Determination of resistance to indentation - Test method. See results in Table 3.

Impact resistance was tested on BKM-5 device with 150 kgf/cm pendulum, span 90 mm, cross section of the tested material was 10 x 10 mm. See results in Table 3.

All series average values of measured properties are presented in Table 4 for comparison between natural and thermally treated pine wood.

All properties, except density, were measured at the initial moisture content.

Test results**Table 1.** Moisture content and density.

Sample No	Thermo				Natural			
	Moisture content, %	Sample average moisture content, %	Oven-dry density, kg/m ³	Sample average oven-dry density, kg/m ³	Moisture content, %	Sample average moisture content, %	Oven-dry density, kg/m ³	Sample average oven-dry density, kg/m ³
1.1	4.40	4.40	393.79	393.19	16.89	16.85	464.32	465.19
1.2	4.40		392.59		16.80		466.05	
2.1	4.43	4.44	392.24	388.69	15.70	15.67	417.62	417.31
2.2	4.45		385.13		15.64		416.99	
3.1	4.44	4.41	389.36	388.48	15.95	15.96	430.09	428.72
3.2	4.39		387.60		15.97		427.35	
4.1	3.94	3.95	399.54	399.78	16.83	16.84	434.43	434.01
4.2	3.96		400.02		16.84		433.59	
5.1	4.58	4.58	328.22	328.13	16.75	16.71	434.23	434.22
5.2	4.58		328.03		16.67		434.21	
6.1	4.49	4.53	341.43	340.00	15.92	15.87	365.87	364.28
6.2	4.57		338.56		15.82		362.69	
7.1	4.64	4.66	330.13	330.06	15.17	15.22	431.97	431.49
7.2	4.69		329.99		15.28		431.01	
8.1	3.89	3.93	380.00	380.57	15.78	15.76	428.69	430.29
8.2	3.97		381.14		15.74		431.89	
9.1	3.95	3.98	397.70	396.42	15.53	15.46	427.89	427.11
9.2	4.00		395.13		15.40		426.33	
10.1	3.80	3.77	399.73	397.08	15.25	15.21	429.19	430.13
10.2	3.73		394.43		15.17		431.07	
Series average		4.27		374.24		15.96		426.27

Table 2. Bending tests results.

Sample No	Thermo		Natural	
	Bending strength, MPa	MOE, GPa	Bending strength, MPa	MOE, GPa
1	14.03	3.04	30.80	4.52
2	15.23	3.14	30.29	3.69
3	21.16	3.34	21.55	2.63
4	16.55	3.60	29.74	4.14
5	17.00	3.26	31.20	4.43
6	16.77	4.03	26.39	2.98
7	13.35	3.27	31.28	3.65
8	17.44	3.46	20.51	3.13
9	14.53	3.53	13.62	2.31
10	28.07	3.72	24.31	3.44
Series average	17.41	3.44	25.97	3.49

 Test report may be duplicated only completely. For partial duplication permission of testing laboratory is needed.

Table 2. Surface resistance to indentation and impact resistance tests.

Sample No	Thermo				Natural			
	Resistance to indentation, N/mm ²	Sample average resistance to indentation, N/mm ²	Impact resistance, J/cm ²	Sample average impact resistance, J/cm ²	Resistance to indentation, N/mm ²	Sample average resistance to indentation, N/mm ²	Impact resistance, J/cm ²	Sample average impact resistance, J/cm ²
1.1	13.23	13.35	8.40	8.63	17.31	17.45	11.70	11.70
1.2	13.46		8.85		17.60		11.70	
2.1	13.46	13.93	8.40	8.25	10.68	10.78	9.60	9.60
2.2	14.40		8.10		10.88		9.60	
3.1	10.47	10.88	8.85	8.70	13.69	12.91	9.30	9.53
3.2	11.29		8.55		12.13		9.75	
4.1	13.69	12.91	9.30	9.15	10.68	9.87	10.20	10.05
4.2	12.13		9.00		9.06		9.90	
5.1	9.26	10.91	8.70	8.63	13.92	14.16	11.55	11.18
5.2	12.56		8.55		14.40		10.80	
6.1	9.67	10.69	8.70	8.63	10.07	10.17	9.75	9.68
6.2	11.71		8.55		10.27		9.60	
7.1	8.85	8.85	8.85	9.00	11.50	16.89	9.60	9.53
7.2	8.85		9.15		22.28		9.45	
8.1	12.35	13.37	8.70	9.00	12.56	11.62	9.90	9.15
8.2	14.40		9.30		10.68		8.40	
9.1	11.92	11.60	9.15	8.93	8.85	9.76	10.05	9.90
9.2	11.29		8.70		10.68		9.75	
10.1	13.23	13.70	8.85	8.85	15.15	17.14	9.90	9.53
10.2	14.16		8.85		19.13		9.15	
Series average		12.02		8.78		13.08		9.98

Table 4. Comparison of measured properties.

Series	Moisture content, %	Oven-dry density, kg/m ³	Resistance to indentation, N/mm ²	Impact resistance, J/cm ²	Bending strength, MPa	MOE, GPa
Thermo	4.27	374.24	12.02	8.78	17.41	3.44
Natural	15.96	426.27	13.08	9.98	25.97	3.49

Remark: Results of testing are valid only for tested products.

/signed digitally/

Triinu Poltimäe, PhD
 Head of Laboratory for Furniture and Wood Materials Testing
 Department of Polymer Materials
 Tallinn University of Technology

Test report may be duplicated only completely. For partial duplication permission of testing laboratory is needed.